1	THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT SEATTLE	
9	PlentyofFish Media Inc., an entity organized) or existing under the laws of Canada,)	
10	Plaintiff,)	
11	v.)	
12	FCI, Inc., a Washington corporation; LRAM) Case No. 2:12-cv-02099	
13 14	Company B.V., an entity organized or existing under the laws of the Netherlands; Protecting Solutions Ltd., an entity organized) DEFENDANT FCI'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
15	or existing under the laws of the United) Kingdom; Robert A. H. Leahy, an individual)	
16	residing in British Columbia, Canada;) Michael Tell, an individual; and John Does 1-)	
17	5,	
18	Defendants.)	
19	COMES NOW defendant FCI, Inc. ("FCI"), and for purposes of answering plaintif	f's
20	Complaint For Computer Fraud and Trespass to Chattels (the "Complaint"), FCI hereby alleg	ges
21	and states as follows:	
22	ANSWER	
23	1. Answering paragraph 1 of the Complaint, with respect to the first two sentences	ces
24	of paragraph 1, no answer is appropriate since such sentences just include statements of inte	ent
25	of plaintiff; with respect to the third sentence of paragraph 1, FCI denies these allegations.	
26	2. Answering paragraph 2 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information	on
27	or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations. DEFENDANT FCI'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - 1 CASE NO. 2:12-cv-02099-RAJ LANE POWELL PC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4100 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-2338 206.223.7000 FAX: 206.223.7107	3

118105.0033/5576616.1

25

26

27

- 3. Answering paragraph 3 of the Complaint, FCI admits it is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business in Seattle, Washington; FCI states the website freesecretcams.com is not in operation; and FCI denies the remaining allegations.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
- 5. Answering paragraph 5 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
- 6. Answering paragraph 6 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
- 8. Answering paragraph 8 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
- 9. Answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
- 10. Answering paragraph 10 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
- 11. Answering paragraphs 11 through 14 of the Complaint, FCI states it does not dispute jurisdiction and venue in this case.
- 12. Answering paragraphs 15, 16, 17 and 18 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
 - 13. Answering paragraph 19 of the Complaint, FCI denies these allegations.
- 14. Answering paragraphs 20 and 21 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
 - 15. Answering paragraph 22 of the Complaint, FCI denies these allegations.

- 16. Answering paragraph 23 of the Complaint, since this paragraph restates prior allegations, see above regarding answer to prior allegations.
- 17. Answering paragraph 24 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
- 18. Answering paragraphs 25 through 33 of the Complaint, FCI denies these allegations.
- 19. Answering paragraph 34, since this paragraph restates prior allegations, see above regarding answer to prior allegations.
- 20. Answering paragraph 35 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
 - 21. Answering paragraph 36 and 37 of the Complaint, FCI denies these allegations.
- 22. Answering paragraph 38 of the Complaint, FCI is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny these allegations and therefore denies these allegations.
 - 23. Answering paragraphs 39 and 40 of the Complaint, FCI denies these allegations.
- 24. Answering paragraphs 41 and 42 of the Complaint, no answer is appropriate since paragraph 41 states remedies sought by plaintiff and paragraph 42 refers to a demand for a jury trial.
- 25. All other allegations of the Complaint, other than those expressly admitted above, are hereby denied.

DEFENSES

In further answer to plaintiff's Complaint, including by way of affirmative defenses thereto, FCI alleges as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted against FCI.
- 2. In the event any other defendant has any liability to plaintiff, FCI had no involvement with such other defendants and had no control over such other defendants, and FCI has no liability.

DEFENDANT FCI'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - 3 CASE NO. 2:12-cv-02099-RAJ

LANE POWELL PC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4100 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-2338 206.223.7000 FAX: 206.223.7107

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, that on January 23, 2013 the foregoing document was presented to the Clerk of the Court for filing and uploading to the CM/ECF system. In accordance with their ECF registration agreement and the Court's rules, the Clerk of the Court will send e-mail notification of such filing to the following:

8 William O. Ferron, Jr. Seed Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC 9 701 Fifth Avenue, Ste. 5400 Seattle, WA 98104 10 T: 206-622-4900 F: 206-682-6031

11 Email: billf@seedip.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12 Jeffrey H. Kass Polsinelli Shughart PC 13 100 South Fourth St., Ste. 1000 St. Louis, MO 63102 14 T; 303-572-9300 F: 303-572-7883 15

Email: jkass@polsinelli.com

16 Robert A. H. Leahy 2896 Wyndeatt Avenue 17 Victoria, BC, Canada V9A-2L8 T: 1-250-886-2570 18 Email: <u>rleahy@rleahy.ca</u>

EXECUTED 23 January 2013 at Seattle, Washington.

s/Joseph E. Lynam WSBÁ No. 12728 LANE POWELL PC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4100 Seattle, WA 98101-2338 T: 206-223-7000; F: 206-223-7107 E-mail: lynami@lanepowell.com

DEFENDANT FCI'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - 5 CASE NO. 2:12-cv-02099-RAJ

LANE POWELL PC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4100 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-2338 206.223.7000 FAX: 206.223.7107